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 son of Joseph, which was the son of ™Juda, ®7 which   
 was the son of "Joanna, which was the son of Rhesa,   
 . which was the son of Zorobabel, which was the son of   
 Salathiel, which was the son of Neri, \*8 which was the son   
 of Melchi, which was the son of Addi, which was the son   
 of Cosam, which was the son of Elmodam, which was the   
 son.of Er, 2° which was the son of ° Jose, which was the   
 son of Eliezer, which was the son of Jorim, which was the   
 son of Matthat, which was the son of Levi, % which was   
 the son of Simeon, which was the son of Juda, which was   
 the son of Joseph, which was the son of Jonan, which was   
 the son of Eliakim, 5! which was the son of Melea, which   
 was the son of P Menan, which was the son of Mattatha,   
   
   
   
 at xii, which was the son of °Nathan, P which was the son of   
 ron. il. David, 524 which was the son of Jesse, which was the son   
 @ Bath of 4 Obed, which was the son of Booz, which was the son of   
 ge iF Salmon, which was the son of Naasson, 8 which was the   
   
 son of Aminadab, which was the son of ‘Aram, which was   
 the son of Esrom, which was the son of Phares, which was   
 the son of Juda, 5% which was the son of Jacob, which was   
 Gena the son of Isaac, which was the son of Abraham, \* which   
 was the son of Thara, which was the son of Nachor,   
 85 which was the son of Saruch, which was the son of   
 Ragau, which was the son of Phalec, which was the son of   
 ss dun.x. Heber, which was the son of Sala, 56 \* which was the son of   
 $Gen:v-g8e:8 Cainan, which was the son of Arphaxad, ‘ which was the   
   
   
 21,10,   
 ™ read, Joda. 2 read, Joanan.   
 © some ancient authorities have, Jesus. P or, Menna.   
 @ some ancient authorities read, Jobed.   
 T the readings are very various and uncertain, Most of the ancient MSS. have,   
 Admin, which was the son of Arni.   
 8 most ancient axthoritics have,   
   
 of a genealogy ? With all these ele- Lord A. Hervey’s work on the Genealogies   
 ments of confusion, it is quite as pre- of our Lord. bf 7.) of Salathiel ...   
 sumptuous to pronounce the genealogies of Neri: in Matt. i. 12, “ begat   
 discrepant, as it over-curious and un- Salathiel.” 81.] Nathan: see 2Sam.   
 critical to to reconcile It v.14: 1 Chron. iii. Zech. xii.   
 may suffice us that are inserted in 36. Cainam] This name does not exist in   
 the Gospels as authentic documents, and our present Hebrew text, but in the   
 both of them merely to clear Davidical Gen. x. 24; xi. 12, 18, and furnishes a   
 descent of the putative of the Lord. curious instance of one of two things—   
 His own real Davidical descent not either (1) the corruption of our present   
 depend on either of them, but must be Hebrew text in these chronological pas-   
 solely derived through his mother. See sages 3 or (2) the incorrectness of the   
 much interesting investigation of the LXX, and notwithstanding that, the high   
 Yarious solutions and traditions, in Dr. reputation which it bad obtained in so   
 Mill’s tract referred to above: and in short a time. Lightfoot holds the latter